

wq: Exploring water quality monitoring data

Alan D. Jassby and James E. Cloern

August 18, 2010

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Preparing data from an external file	3
3	The WqData class	4
4	Creating a WqData object	5
5	Reshaping	7
6	Analyzing	8
6.1	Trends	8
6.2	Empirical Orthogonal Functions	10
6.3	Time series decomposition	15
6.4	Phenological parameters	16
6.5	Miscellaneous plotting functions	20
7	Concluding Remarks	20

1 Introduction

This package contains functions to assist in the processing and exploration of data from monitoring programs for aquatic ecosystems. The name *wq* stands for *water quality* and reflects a focus on time series data for physical and chemical properties of water, as well as the plankton. The package is currently intended for programs that sample approximately monthly at discrete stations. Although our emphasis is mainly estuarine and nearshore coastal ecosystems, most functions should be applicable for a wide range of systems, from freshwater to open ocean. The package contains only a few functions at this early stage, but we hope they are generally useful.




Figure 1: A typical sequence of data analysis. Example functions from the package are listed underneath the corresponding processes in the sequence.

The approach used here involves transformation of external data files into a standard format that existing functions can then handle easily. A conceptualization of this sequence is illustrated in Figure 1. Water quality monitoring programs maintain their *data* in a wide variety of formats, and the first step is to *read* data from an external file and store it in a data frame. Often, the external data are stored or at least transmitted in a comma- or tab-delimited format and can be easily handled with `read.table` or one of its variants. Some *cleaning* or manipulation of the data set may take place during the import process, but more substantive ones are often undertaken immediately after. Typical modifications include renaming variables, dropping unnecessary variables and observations, and coercing variables to different classes. These modifications are chosen with regard to ease of use and the intended analysis, but also in order to facilitate construction of an object with a standardized format. Before constructing this object, though, we may want to *derive* new variables from the original ones (e.g., salinity from conductivity). Next, we *generate* the standardized “wq data” object, which is a member of the `WqData` class defined in this package. We can then *reshape* into various forms—matrix, list, time series vector, data frame, etc.—depending on the analysis. At this point, the data are finally in a form that we can *analyze and visualize*. Some functions may be able to explore a `WqData` object

directly without any additional reshaping.

This package is intended to facilitate all of these activities. We will illustrate some of the steps in Figure 1 using the accompanying data set `sfbay`. The exercise should demonstrate most of the current capability of the package and make its use more clear.

```
> library(wq)
```

2 Preparing data from an external file

Our starting point is a comma-delimited file downloaded on 2009-11-17 from the U.S. Geological Survey's water quality data set for San Francisco Bay (<http://sfbay.wr.usgs.gov/access/wqdata>). The downloaded file, `sfbay.csv`, starts with a row of variable names followed by a row of units, so the first two lines are skipped during import and simpler variable names are substituted for the originals. Also, only a subset of stations and years is used in order to keep `sfbay` small and the `wq` package easier to download:

```
> sfbay <- read.csv("sfbay.csv", header = FALSE, as.is = TRUE,
+   skip = 2)
> names(sfbay) <- c("date", "time", "stn", "depth", "chl", "dox",
+   "spm", "ext", "sal", "temp", "nox", "nhx")
> sfbay <- subset(sfbay, stn %in% c(21, 24, 27, 30, 32, 36) & substring(date,
+   7, 10) %in% 1985:2004)
```

The resulting data frame `sfbay` is provided as part of the package, and its contents are explained in the accompanying help file.

```
> head(sfbay)
```

	date	time	stn	depth	chl	dox	spm	ext	sal	temp	nox	nhx
6835	1/23/1985	1120	21	1	5.6	NA	17	1.6	28.15	NA	NA	NA
6836	1/23/1985	1120	21	2	3.4	NA	17	1.6	28.58	NA	NA	NA
6837	1/23/1985	1120	21	6	3.1	NA	18	1.6	28.91	NA	NA	NA
6838	1/23/1985	1120	21	12	3.4	NA	21	1.9	29.36	NA	NA	NA
6841	1/23/1985	1222	24	1	6.2	NA	17	1.6	27.42	NA	NA	NA
6842	1/23/1985	1222	24	2	5.6	NA	18	1.6	27.42	NA	NA	NA

The next step is to add any necessary derived variables to the data frame. An initial data set will sometimes contain conductivity rather than salinity data, and we might want to use `ec2pss` to derive the latter. That's not the case here, but let's assume that we want dissolved oxygen as percent saturation rather than in concentration units. Using `oxySol` and the convention of expressing percent saturation with respect to surface pressure:

```

> x <- sample(1:nrow(sfbay), 10)
> sfbay[x, "dox"]

[1] 10.1  NA  NA  NA  8.0  NA  6.7  7.2  7.5  7.5

> sfbay1 <- transform(sfbay, dox = round(100 * dox/oxySol(sal,
+   temp), 1))
> sfbay1[x, "dox"]

[1] 97.1  NA  NA  NA 100.9  NA  96.3 105.0  73.7 102.6

```

As will be seen below, much of the manipulation work needed to form the **WqData** object is taken care of by a generating function in the package, and there is really nothing more that needs to be done. In fact, not even the renaming of the variables was necessary: only the initial `read.csv` function was required. This is partly due to the way the original data were formatted in the downloaded file and more work may be needed in other cases.

3 The **WqData** class

We define a standardized format for water quality data by creating a formal (S4) class, the **WqData** class, that enforces the standards, and an accompanying generating function `wqData`. The generating function acts on the suitably-modified data frame and constructs a **WqData** object.

In order to avoid a large programming burden in the early stages of this package, and also to let the design evolve efficiently by responding to specific needs that arise, the initial **WqData** object is just a simple extension or subset of the **data.frame** and can be treated as such. The only restrictions it makes is in the column names and classes.

We decided to accommodate two types of sampling **time**, namely, the date either with or without the time of day. The former are converted to the **POSIXct** class and the latter to the **Date** class. A special class **DateTime** is created, which is the union of these two time classes. This was done because the use of classes that combine date and time of day require an additional level of care with respect to time zone ([Grothendieck and Petzoldt 2004](#)). Almost all analyses of these low-frequency sampling programs are concerned with only the date, and this additional burden and possible source of error seems unwarranted if not necessary.

Surface location is specified by a **site** code, as the initial intention is to handle discrete monitoring programs as opposed to continuous transects. Latitude-longitude and distances from a fixed point are implicit in the **site** code and can be recorded in a separate table (see `sfbayVars`). The **depth** is specified separately as a number. Other information that may not be depth-specific, such as the mean vertical extinction

coefficient in the near-surface layer, can be located by a negative depth number for now. The last two fields in the data portion of a `WqData` object are the `variable` code and the `value`. The variables are given as character strings and the values as numbers. As in the case of the sampling site, additional information related to the variable code can be maintained in a separate table (see `sfbayVars`).

4 Creating a `WqData` object

Like all `S4` classes, `WqData` has a generating function called `new` automatically created along with the class. This function, however, requires that its data frame argument already have a fairly restricted form of structure. In order to decrease the manipulation required of the imported data, a separate, less restrictive generating function called `wqData` is available. This function is more forgiving of field names and classes and does a few other “cleanup” tasks with the data before calling `new`. Perhaps most useful, it converts data from a “wide” format with one field per variable into the “long” format used by the `WqData` class. For example, `sfbay` can be converted to a `WqData` object with a single command:

```
> sfb <- wqData(sfbay, c(1, 3:4), 5:12, site.order = TRUE, type = "wide",
+   time.format = "%m/%d/%Y")
> head(sfb)
```

	time	site	depth	variable	value
1	1985-01-23	s21	1	chl	5.6
2	1985-01-23	s21	2	chl	3.4
3	1985-01-23	s21	6	chl	3.1
4	1985-01-23	s21	12	chl	3.4
5	1985-01-23	s24	1	chl	6.2
6	1985-01-23	s24	2	chl	5.6

There is a `summary` method for this class that tabulates the number of observations by site and variable, as well as the mean and quartiles for individual variables:

```
> summary(sfb)
```

```
date range: 1985-01-23 to 2004-12-14
```

```
$observations
```

	chl	dox	spm	ext	sal	temp	nox	nhx
s21	5164	3673	3903	159	5379	5385	135	135
s24	3340	2246	2405	146	3485	3480	123	123




Figure 2: Plotting only one variable of a "WqData" object: chl.

```
s27 3927 2676 2848 150 4119 4118 142 142
s30 4496 2922 3106 147 4725 4720 165 164
s32 3560 2608 2763 129 3786 3777 141 141
s36 1576 1380 1438 23 1678 1676 101 101
```

\$quartiles

	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
chl	0.10	2.100	3.70	7.479	7.600	221.20
dox	4.10	7.200	8.00	8.140	8.800	15.90
spm	1.00	11.000	20.00	34.050	35.000	983.00
ext	0.20	1.200	1.50	1.762	1.900	12.70
sal	3.80	22.330	26.78	25.330	29.570	32.59
temp	7.24	12.890	15.12	15.500	17.890	24.61
nox	0.01	12.380	22.69	28.550	39.220	247.80
nhx	0.01	2.252	5.14	5.525	8.398	20.78

Plotting a "WqData" object produces a page for each variable specified, each page containing a strip plot of the values for each site (Figure 2). If no variables are specified, then the first 10 will be plotted:

```
> plot(sfb, vars = "chl")
```

Apart from `summary` and `plot`, existing methods for data frames will produce an object of class `"data.frame"` rather than one of class `"WqData"`.

5 Reshaping

Historical water quality data are often suitable for analyzing as monthly time series, which permits the use of many existing time series functions. `tsMake` is a function for `WqData` objects that creates monthly time series for all variables at a single site or for a single variable at all sites, when the option `type = "ts.mon"`. All replicates are first averaged and then the mean is found for the depth layer of interest. NA values will be omitted. If you want to include them, temporarily assign them some unique depth within the specified depth layer. If no layer is specified, all depths will be used. The default time series plot is convenient for a quick look at the series (Figure 3):

```
> y <- tsMake(sfb, focus = "chl", layer = c(0, 5))
> y[1:6, ]
```

	s21	s24	s27	s30	s32	s36
[1,]	4.500000	5.900000	NaN	1.300000	2.650000	6.250
[2,]	NaN	NaN	NaN	1.600000	5.550000	NaN
[3,]	5.858333	10.654167	12.291667	12.787500	11.866667	40.100
[4,]	4.638889	5.916667	8.133333	8.388889	11.455556	4.525
[5,]	2.575000	2.058333	1.566667	1.183333	1.725000	NaN
[6,]	3.025000	1.875000	1.441667	1.133333	1.641667	3.000

```
> tsp(y)
```

```
[1] 1985.000 2004.917 12.000
```

```
> plot(y, main = "Chlorophyll in San Francisco Bay")
```

If the option `type = "zoo"`, then `tsMake` produces an object of class `"zoo"` containing values by date of observation, rather than a monthly time series.

```
> head(tsMake(sfb, focus = "chl", layer = c(0, 5), type = "zoo"))
```

	s21	s24	s27	s30	s32	s36
1985-01-23	4.500	5.90000	NaN	1.300000	2.650000	6.25
1985-02-27	NaN	NaN	NaN	1.600000	5.550000	NaN
1985-03-07	4.800	3.90000	5.200000	5.033333	5.166667	NaN
1985-03-13	2.600	9.35000	7.066667	5.066667	4.500000	NaN
1985-03-21	NaN	7.70000	13.300000	10.200000	4.700000	NaN
1985-03-29	10.175	21.66667	23.600000	30.850000	33.100000	40.10




Figure 3: Monthly mean chlorophyll ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) in 0-5 m layer of San Francisco Bay.

6 Analyzing

6.1 Trends

The function `mannKen` does a Mann-Kendall test of trend on a time series and provides the corresponding nonparametric slope estimate. Because of serial correlation for most monthly time series, the significance of such a trend is often overstated and `mannKen` is better suited for annual series, such as this one for Nile River flow:

```
> mannKen(Nile)
```

```
$sen.slope
[1] -2.6
```

```
$sen.slope.pct
```



```
[1] -0.2828085
```

```
$p.value
```

```
[1] 3.658263e-05
```

```
$S
```

```
[1] -1387
```

```
$varS
```

```
[1] 112728.3
```

```
$miss
```

```
[1] 0
```

Its main role in this package, however, is as a support function for the Seasonal Kendall test of trend ([Helsel and Hirsch 1992](#)). The Seasonal Kendall test combines information about trends for individual months (or some other subdivision of the year such as quarters) and produces an overall test of trend for a series. **mannKen** collects certain information on the pattern of missing data that is then used to determine if a Seasonal Kendall test is warranted. In particular, there is an option to report a result only if more than half the seasons are each missing less than half the possible comparisons between the first and last 20% of the years ([Schertz et al. 1991](#)):

```
> chl27 <- sfbayCh1a[, "s27"]
```

```
> seaKen(chl27)
```

```
$sen.slope
```

```
[1] 0.1083333
```

```
$sen.slope.pct
```

```
[1] 2.148168
```

```
$p.value
```

```
[1] 1.117981e-25
```

```
$miss
```

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	0.286	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.265	0.265	0.265	0.429	0.143	0.143	0.286	0.429

The main role, in turn, for **seaKen** in this package is as a support function for **seaRoll**, which applies the Seasonal Kendall test to a rolling window of years, such as a decadal window. **seaKen** is also subject to distortion by correlation among months, but the relatively small number of years per window in typical use does not allow for an

accurate correction. One might therefore consider using a more conservative p -value than usual as a significance threshold:

```
> seaRoll(chl27, w = 10)
```

	sen.slope	sen.slope.pct	p.value
1987	0.0000	0.000	1.000
1988	0.0258	0.760	0.357
1989	NA	NA	NA
1990	NA	NA	NA
1991	NA	NA	NA
1992	0.0400	1.090	0.078
1993	NA	NA	NA
1994	NA	NA	NA
1995	0.0400	1.010	0.126
1996	-0.0217	-0.567	0.525
1997	-0.0364	-0.900	0.305
1998	NA	NA	NA
1999	NA	NA	NA
2000	0.1380	2.720	0.006
2001	NA	NA	NA
2002	NA	NA	NA
2003	0.2700	4.440	0.000
2004	0.2870	4.570	0.000
2005	0.3160	5.120	0.000
2006	0.2600	3.800	0.000
2007	0.3160	4.380	0.000
2008	0.3090	4.160	0.000
2009	NA	NA	NA

6.2 Empirical Orthogonal Functions

Empirical Orthogonal Function (EOF) analysis is a term used primarily in the earth sciences for principal component analysis applied to simultaneous time series at different spatial locations. [Hannachi et al. \(2007\)](#) provides a recent comprehensive summary. The function `eof` in this package, based on `prcomp` in the `stats` package, scales the time series and applies a promax rotation to the EOFs.

`eof` does not permit NAs and some kind of data imputation or omission will usually be required. The function `interpTs` is handy for small data gaps. Here, we use it to bridge gaps of up to three months. The interpolated series is then plotted in red and the original series overplotted in blue (Figure 4).

```
> chl27 <- sfbayChla[, "s27"]
> chl27a <- interpTs(chl27, gap = 3)
```




Figure 4: Interpolation of a monthly time series (interpolated data in *red*).

```
> plot(chl27a, col = "red", xlab = "")
> lines(chl27, col = "blue")
```

`eof` requires an estimate of the number of EOFs to retain for rotation. `eofNum` provides a guide to this number by plotting the eigenvalues and their confidence intervals in a “scree” plot. The significance of each eigenvalue is also assessed using *rule N*, which repeatedly computes eigenvalues of the correlation matrix for an appropriately-sized random variable matrix and returns the 0.95 quantiles. Here, we apply `eofNum` to annualized San Francisco Bay chlorophyll data and retain the stations with no missing data, namely, the first 12 stations.

```
> chla1 <- aggregate(sfbayChla, 1, mean, na.rm = TRUE)
> chla1 <- chla1[, 1:12]
> eofNum(chla1, distr = "lognormal", reps = 2000)
```

These stations have similar coefficients for the first EOF and appear to act as one with respect to chlorophyll variability on the annual scale (Figure 5). It suggests that further exploration of the interannual variability of these stations can be simplified by using a single time series, namely, the first EOF.

```
> e1 <- eof(chla1, n = 1)
> e1
```




Figure 5: Eigenvalues of the San Francisco Bay chlorophyll time series matrix.

\$REOF

	id	EOF1
1	s21	0.2984840
2	s22	0.2875436
3	s23	0.3074099
4	s24	0.3038324
5	s25	0.3013699
6	s26	0.2686399
7	s27	0.3116476
8	s28	0.2791966
9	s29	0.3042674
10	s30	0.2931426
11	s31	0.2549798
12	s32	0.2445793

\$amplitude

	id	EOF1
1	1978	-3.71779761
2	1979	-3.31653011
3	1980	-3.66943342
4	1981	-2.94304599
5	1982	-2.72889938

```

6 1983 0.05732382
7 1984 -2.02038749
8 1985 -1.89260439
9 1986 -0.30543129
10 1987 -4.18310354
11 1988 -2.38621346
12 1989 -1.07971835
13 1990 -0.90950909
14 1991 -3.05696910
15 1992 -2.71675623
16 1993 -0.64605278
17 1994 -2.17668147
18 1995 2.32949446
19 1996 -2.59126388
20 1997 0.86074181
21 1998 3.36503739
22 1999 2.70185298
23 2000 3.23687896
24 2001 2.78555990
25 2002 1.53489367
26 2003 5.42194986
27 2004 1.40560267
28 2005 0.58759133
29 2006 6.27913918
30 2007 3.92929848
31 2008 5.84503308

```

```
$eigen.pct
```

```
[1] 78.4 8.1 7.0 3.5 1.4 0.5 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.1
```

```
$variance
```

```
[1] 78.4
```

The function `plotEof` produces a graph of either the EOFs or their accompanying time series. In this case, with `n = 1`, there is only one plot for each such graph (Figure 6).

```
> plotEof(e1, type = "amp")
```

Principal component analysis can also be useful in studying the way different seasonal “modes” of variability contribute to overall year-to-year variability of a single time series ([Jassby 1999](#)). The basic approach is to consider each month as determining a separate annual time series and then to calculate the eigenvalues for the




Figure 6: Time series for the first EOF of the San Francisco Bay chlorophyll time series matrix.

resulting $12 \times n$ years time series matrix. The function `ts2df` is useful for expressing a monthly time series in the form needed by `eof`. For example, the following code converts the monthly chlorophyll time series for Station 27 in San Francisco Bay to the appropriate data frame with October, the first month of the local “water year”, in the first column, and years with missing data omitted:

```
> chl27b <- interpTs(sfbayChla[, "s27"], gap = 3)
> chl27b <- ts2df(chl27b, mon1 = 10, addYr = TRUE, omit = TRUE)
> head(round(chl27b, 1))
```

	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
1979	2.1	2.2	1.7	1.9	1.8	2.4	3.8	2.3	4.8	1.6	3.9	2.1
1980	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.9	2.1	10.2	3.4	2.1	1.1	1.4	1.6
1983	1.8	1.7	1.0	1.2	1.4	7.0	16.4	16.6	5.4	1.4	1.7	2.0
1984	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.9	2.8	3.0	9.8	3.5	1.2	1.7	2.3	2.9
1986	1.5	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	4.0	25.5	4.0	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4
1987	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.4	1.4	5.1	5.9	5.1	2.9	1.7	2.0	2.0

The following example plots the EOFs from an analysis of this month \times year data frame for Station 27 chlorophyll. `eofNum` (not shown) suggested retaining up to two EOFs. The resulting rotated EOFs imply two separate modes of variability for further exploration, the first operating during May-Sep and the other during Nov-Jan (Figure 7):

```
> e2 <- eof(chl27b, n = 2)
> plotEof(e2, type = "coef")
```




Figure 7: Rotated EOFs for the San Francisco Bay Station 27 month \times year chlorophyll time series.

6.3 Time series decomposition

An analysis of chlorophyll *a* time series from many coastal and estuarine sites around the world demonstrates that the standard deviation of chlorophyll is approximately proportional to the mean, both among and within sites, as well as at different time scales (Cloern and Jassby 2010). One consequence is that these monthly time series are well described by a multiplicative seasonal model: $c_{ij} = Cy_i m_j \epsilon_{ij}$, where c_{ij} is chlorophyll concentration in year i and month j ; C is the long-term mean; y_i is the annual effect; m_j is the average seasonal (in this case monthly) effect; and ϵ_{ij} is the residual series, which we sometimes refer to as the “events” component. The annual effect is simply the annual mean $Y_i = (1/12) \sum_{j=1}^{12} c_{ij}$ divided by the long-term mean: $y_i = Y_i/C$. The average monthly effect is given by $m_j = (1/N) \sum_{i=1}^N M_{ij}/Y_i$, where M_{ij} is the value for month j in year i , and N is the total number of years. The events component is then obtained by $\epsilon_{ij} = c_{ij}/Cy_i m_j$.

The `decompTs` listed here accomplishes this multiplicative decomposition (an option allows additive decomposition as an alternative). It requires input of a time series matrix in which the columns are monthly time series. It allows missing data, but it is up to the user to decide how many data are sufficient and if the pattern of missing data will lead to bias in the results. If so, it would be advisable to eliminate problem years beforehand by setting all month values to NA for those years. There are two cases of interest here: one in which the seasonal effect is held constant from

year to year, and another in which it is allowed to vary by not distinguishing a separate events component. The choice is made by setting `event = TRUE` or `event = FALSE`, respectively, in the input. If no specific starting or ending year is given, the input data will be extended to cover January of the earliest or December of the latest year, respectively. The output of this function is a matrix time series containing the original time series and its multiplicative model components.

The average seasonal pattern may not resemble observed seasonality in a given year. Patterns that are highly variable from year to year will result in an average seasonal pattern of relatively low amplitude (i.e., low range of monthly values) compared to the amplitudes in individual years. An average seasonal pattern with high amplitude therefore indicates both high amplitude and a recurring pattern for individual years. The default time series `plot` again provides a quick illustration of the result (Figure 8):

```
> chl27 <- sfbayChla[, "s27"]
> d1 <- decompTs(chl27)
> plot(d1, nc = 1, main = "Station 27 Chl-a decomposition")
```

The average seasonal pattern does not provide any information about potential secular trends in the pattern. A solution is to apply the decomposition to a moving time window. The window should be big enough to yield a meaningful average of interannual variability but short enough to allow a trend to manifest. This may be different for different systems, but a decadal window can be used as a starting point. A more convenient, albeit restrictive, way to examine changing seasonality is with the dedicated function `plotSeas`. It divides the time period into equal intervals and plots a composite of the seasonal pattern in each interval. It also warns of months that may not be represented by enough data by colouring them red (Figure 9). `plotSeas` is an easy way to decide on the value for the `event` option in `decompTs`.

```
> plotSeas(chl27, num = 4)
```

6.4 Phenological parameters

`phenoPhase` and `phenoAmp` act on monthly time series or dated observations ("zoo" objects) and produce measures of the phase and amplitude, respectively, for each year. `phenoPhase` finds the month containing the maximum value, the *fulcrum* or center of gravity, and the weighted mean month. `phenoAmp` finds the range, the range divided by mean, and the coefficient of variation. Both functions can be confined to only part of the year, for example, the months containing the spring phytoplankton bloom. This feature can also be used to avoid months with chronic missing-data problems.




Figure 8: Multiplicative decomposition of chlorophyll at Station 27 in San Francisco Bay.

Illustrating once again with chlorophyll observations from Station 27 in San Francisco Bay:

```
> chl27 <- sfbayChla[, "s27"]
> p1 <- phenoPhase(chl27)
> head(p1)
```

	year	max.time	fulcrum	mean.wt
1	1978	NA	NA	NA
2	1979	NA	NA	NA
3	1980	4	4.52	5.54
4	1981	NA	NA	NA
5	1982	NA	NA	NA
6	1983	NA	NA	NA




Figure 9: Composites of seasonal pattern in `ch127` for four multi-year intervals.

```
> p2 <- phenoPhase(ch127, c(1, 6))
> head(p2)
```

	year	max.time	fulcrum	mean.wt
1	1978	3	3.37	3.58
2	1979	6	3.94	4.01
3	1980	4	3.99	3.90
4	1981	NA	NA	NA
5	1982	4	3.86	3.75
6	1983	NA	NA	NA

```
> p3 <- phenoAmp(ch127, c(1, 6))
> head(p3)
```

	year	range	range.mean	cv
1	1978	4.450000	1.530086	0.5228641
2	1979	3.033333	1.074803	0.4260272
3	1980	8.900000	2.538827	0.9578382
4	1981	NA	NA	NA
5	1982	6.509444	1.122560	0.4564730
6	1983	NA	NA	NA

Using the actual dated observations:

```
> zchl <- tsMake(sfb, focus = "chl", layer = c(0, 5), type = "zoo")
> head(zchl)
```

	s21	s24	s27	s30	s32	s36
1985-01-23	4.500	5.90000	NaN	1.300000	2.650000	6.25
1985-02-27	NaN	NaN	NaN	1.600000	5.550000	NaN
1985-03-07	4.800	3.90000	5.200000	5.033333	5.166667	NaN
1985-03-13	2.600	9.35000	7.066667	5.066667	4.500000	NaN
1985-03-21	NaN	7.70000	13.300000	10.200000	4.700000	NaN
1985-03-29	10.175	21.66667	23.600000	30.850000	33.100000	40.10

```
> zchl27 <- zchl[, 3]
> head(phenoPhase(zchl27))
```

	year	max.time	fulcrum	mean.wt	n
1	1985	1985-03-29	1985-03-31	1985-04-19	17
2	1986	1986-04-29	1986-04-25	1986-04-27	21
3	1987	1987-04-16	1987-05-13	1987-05-18	20
4	1988	1988-04-14	1988-04-27	1988-06-09	16
5	1989	1989-03-01	1989-04-12	1989-04-12	25
6	1990	1990-04-12	1990-04-30	1990-04-21	13

```
> head(phenoPhase(zchl27, c(1, 6), out = "doy"))
```

	year	max.time	fulcrum	mean.wt	n
1	1985	88	85	94	11
2	1986	119	111	109	15
3	1987	106	106	107	12
4	1988	105	84	98	7
5	1989	60	86	87	18
6	1990	102	106	98	10

```
> head(phenoPhase(zchl27, c(1, 6), out = "julian"))
```

	year	max.time	fulcrum	mean.wt	n
1	1985	5566	5563	5572	11
2	1986	5962	5954	5952	15
3	1987	6314	6314	6315	12
4	1988	6678	6657	6671	7
5	1989	6999	7025	7026	18
6	1990	7406	7410	7402	10




Figure 10: Image plot of monthly log-anomaly time series for Station 27 chlorophyll.

6.5 Miscellaneous plotting functions

`plotTsTile` plots a monthly time series as a month \times year grid of tiles, with color representing magnitude. The data can be binned in either of two ways. The first is simply by deciles. The second, which is intended for log-anomaly data, is by four categories: Positive numbers higher or lower than the mean positive value, and negative numbers higher or lower than the mean negative value. In this version of `plotTsTile`, the anomalies are calculated with respect to the overall mean month.

```
> chl27 <- sfbayChla[, "s27"]
> plotTsTile(chl27)
```

This plot shows clearly the change in autumn-winter chlorophyll magnitude after 1999 (Figure 10).

7 Concluding Remarks

In the near future, this package will remain focused on typical data sets that have accumulated in long-term coastal water quality monitoring programs, namely, those collected at a frequency of about 10^1 to 10^2 times per year at 10^1 to 10^2 sites. Aside from incremental revision and addition of specific functions, the main structural change envisioned is in the class definitions for data objects.

In this regard, it is helpful to examine what constitutes a water quality observation, i.e., the essential components of this class. The minimum information typically needed is of four kinds: the location, the time, the analyte and the observed value. As discussed in Section 3, additional information about the location and the analytical method is inherent in the unique codes used for each location and analyte. Sometimes, however, it may be more convenient to include additional information explicitly with the actual observations, such as censoring limits that may change throughout a

project. Other complications are introduced by the different ways in which location, time, and even observed values can be recorded. For example, surface location information can come in the form of site names, latitude-longitude coordinates or distance along the axis of a channel from some fixed point. Observed values may be numbers, numeric ranges or discrete classifications. Ideally, one wants each of the basic four kinds of information to accommodate all of the major possible forms.

An obvious extension of the `WqData` object would be to include additional slots for site and variable metadata, so that there is no ambiguity about the availability of this information. A more significant change would be to define classes for the fields described above as superclasses of basic classes. For example, a `site` class could accommodate factors, numeric vectors or matrices. Location could then be given by discrete site name, x position as distance from a fixed point, or x and y positions as latitude and longitude. Similarly, depth could accommodate factors or numeric vectors, the former as names of depth layers (“top 5 m”) or as non-numeric depths (“just below surface” or “bottom”).

Ultimately, the package direction will be driven by the needs of people actually using it. Suggestions for revisions and additions are welcome.

References

- CLOERN, J. E., AND A. D. JASSBY. 2010. Patterns and scales of phytoplankton variability in estuarine-coastal ecosystems. *Estuaries and Coasts* **33**: 230–241.
- GROTHENDIECK, G., AND T. PETZOLDT. 2004. R help desk: Date and time classes in R. *R News* **4**: 29–32.
- HANNACHI, A., I. T. JOLLIFFE, AND D. B. STEPHENSON. 2007. Empirical orthogonal functions and related techniques in atmospheric science: A review. *International Journal of Climatology* **27**: 1119–1152.
- HELSEL, D., AND R. HIRSCH. 1992. *Statistical methods in water resources*. Elsevier.
- JASSBY, A. D. 1999. Uncovering mechanisms of interannual variability in ecological time series, pp. 285–306. *In* K. Scow, G. Fogg, D. Hinton, and M. Johnson [eds.], *Integrated assessment of ecosystem health*. CRC Press.
- SCHERTZ, T. L., R. B. ALEXANDER, AND D. J. OHE. 1991. The computer program ESTimate TREND (ESTREND), a system for the detection of trends in water-quality data. Water-Resources Investigations Report 91-4040, U.S. Geological Survey.