

Portfolio Optimisation with Threshold Accepting

Enrico Schumann

October 20, 2011

Abstract

A brief tutorial on using TA for portfolio optimisation.

This vignette provides the code for some of the examples from Gilli et al. [2011]. For more details, please see Chapter 13 of the book; the code in this vignette uses the scripts `exampleSquaredRets.R`, `exampleSquaredRets2.R` and `exampleRatio.R`.

We start by attaching the package. We will later on need the function `resample` (see `?sample`).

```
> require("NMOF")
> resample <- function(x, ...) x[sample.int(length(x), ...)]
> set.seed(112233)
```

1 Minimising squares

1.1 A first implementation

This problem serves as a benchmark: we wish to find a long-only portfolio w (weights) that minimises squared returns across all return scenarios. These scenarios are stored in a matrix R of size number of scenarios ns times number of assets na . More formally, we want to solve the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_w \quad & \Phi \\ & w' \mathbf{1} = 1, \\ & 0 \leq w_j \leq w_j^{\sup} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n_A. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

We set w_j^{\sup} to 5% for all assets. Φ is the squared return of the portfolio, $w'R'RW$, which is similar to the portfolio return's variance. We have

$$\frac{1}{ns} R'R = \text{Cov}(R) + mm'$$

in which Cov is the variance–covariance matrix operator, which maps the columns of R into their variance–covariance matrix; m is a column vector that holds the column means of R , ie, $m' = \frac{1}{ns} \mathbf{1}'R$. For short time horizons, the mean of a column is small compared with the average squared return of the column. Hence, we ignore the matrix mm' , and variance and squared returns become equivalent.

For testing purposes we use the matrix `fundData` for R .

```
> na <- dim(fundData)[2L]
> ns <- dim(fundData)[1L]
> winf <- 0
> wsup <- 0.05
> data <- list(R = t(fundData), RR = crossprod(fundData), na = na,
+   ns = ns, eps = 0.5/100, winf = winf, wsup = wsup)
```

The neighbourhood function automatically enforces the budget constraint.

```
> neighbour <- function(w, data) {
+   eps <- runif(1) * data$eps
+   toSell <- w > data$winf
```

```

+   toBuy <- w < data$wsup
+   i <- resample(which(toSell), size = 1L)
+   j <- resample(which(toBuy), size = 1L)
+   eps <- min(w[i] - data$winf, data$wsup - w[j], eps)
+   w[i] <- w[i] - eps
+   w[j] <- w[j] + eps
+   w
+ }

```

The objective function.

```

> OF1 <- function(w, data) {
+   Rw <- crossprod(data$R, w)
+   crossprod(Rw)
+ }
> OF2 <- function(w, data) {
+   aux <- crossprod(data$RR, w)
+   crossprod(w, aux)
+ }

```

OF2 uses $R'R$; thus, it does not depend on the number of scenarios. But this is only possible for this very specific problem.

So, we specify a random initial solution w_0 ; set up algo; and run TAOpt.

```

> w0 <- runif(na)
> w0 <- w0/sum(w0)
> algo <- list(x0 = w0, neighbour = neighbour, nS = 2000L, nT = 10L,
+   nD = 5000L, q = 0.2, printBar = FALSE, printDetail = FALSE)
> system.time(res <- TAOpt(OF1, algo, data))

```

```

      user  system elapsed
4.18      0.00      4.23

```

```

> 100 * sqrt(crossprod(fundData %*% res$xbest)/ns)

```

```

      [,1]
[1,] 0.3363246

```

```

> system.time(res <- TAOpt(OF2, algo, data))

```

```

      user  system elapsed
2.71      0.00      2.72

```

```

> 100 * sqrt(crossprod(fundData %*% res$xbest)/ns)

```

```

      [,1]
[1,] 0.3367206

```

```

> cat("Check constraints ... \n")

```

Check constraints ...

```

> min(res$xbest)

```

```

[1] 0

```

```

> max(res$xbest)

```

```

[1] 0.05

```

```

> sum(res$xbest)

```

```

[1] 1

```

Note that we have rescaled the result.

The problem can actually be solved quadratic programming; we use the quadprog package [Turlach and Weingessel, 2011].

```
> if (require(quadprog, quietly = TRUE)) {
+   covMatrix <- crossprod(fundData)
+   A <- rep(1, na)
+   a <- 1
+   B <- rbind(-diag(na), diag(na))
+   b <- rbind(array(-data$wsup, dim = c(na, 1)), array(data$winf,
+     dim = c(na, 1)))
+   system.time({
+     result <- solve.QP(Dmat = covMatrix, dvec = rep(0, na),
+       Amat = t(rbind(A, B)), bvec = rbind(a, b), meq = 1L)
+   })
+   wqp <- result$solution
+   cat("Compare results...\n")
+   100 * sqrt(crossprod(fundData %*% wqp)/ns)
+   100 * sqrt(crossprod(fundData %*% res$xbest)/ns)
+   cat("Check constraints ...\n")
+   min(wqp)
+   max(wqp)
+   sum(wqp)
+ }
```

Compare results...

Check constraints ...

[1] 1

1.2 Updating

Here we implement the updating of the objective function as described in Gilli et al. [2011].

```
> neighbourU <- function(sol, data) {
+   wn <- sol$w
+   toSell <- wn > data$winf
+   toBuy <- wn < data$wsup
+   i <- resample(which(toSell), size = 1L)
+   j <- resample(which(toBuy), size = 1L)
+   eps <- runif(1) * data$eps
+   eps <- min(wn[i] - data$winf, data$wsup - wn[j], eps)
+   wn[i] <- wn[i] - eps
+   wn[j] <- wn[j] + eps
+   Rw <- sol$Rw + data$R[, c(i, j)] %*% c(-eps, eps)
+   list(w = wn, Rw = Rw)
+ }
> OF <- function(sol, data) crossprod(sol$Rw)
```

Prepare the data.

```
> na <- dim(fundData)[2L]
> ns <- dim(fundData)[1L]
> winf <- 0
> wsup <- 0.05
> data <- list(R = fundData, na = na, ns = ns, eps = 0.5/100, winf = winf,
+   wsup = wsup)
```

Start with a random solution.

```
> w0 <- runif(data$na)
> w0 <- w0/sum(w0)
```

```

> x0 <- list(w = w0, Rw = fundData %*% w0)
> algo <- list(x0 = x0, neighbour = neighbourU, nS = 2000L, nT = 10L,
+   nD = 5000L, q = 0.2, printBar = FALSE, printDetail = FALSE)
> system.time(res2 <- TAOpt(OF, algo, data))

   user  system elapsed 
  2.01    0.00    2.02 

> 100 * sqrt(crossprod(fundData %*% res2$xbest$w)/ns)

      [,1]
[1,] 0.3367288

```

Compare computing times.

References

- Manfred Gilli, Dietmar Maringer, and Enrico Schumann. *Numerical Methods and Optimization in Finance*. Elsevier, 2011.
- Berwin A. Turlach and Andreas Weingessel. *quadprog: Functions to solve Quadratic Programming Problems.*, 2011. R package version 1.5-4 (S original by Berwin A. Turlach; R port by Andreas Weingessel.).